On the Challenges of Model Decorations for Capturing Complex Metadata

Horacio Hoyos Rodriguez

Athanasios Zolotas

Dimitris Kolovos

University of York, UK

Richard F. Paige

University of York, UK McMaster University, Canada

University of York, UK

University of York, UK

The Problem

Planning for the future



Planning for the future



Decorator languages



Same technologies

- Additional information is seamlessly captured in the model, i.e. its feasible to navigate between a Car and its RC Car.
- Tooling can be reused (with work)



Other technologies

- Separate artefact available during model management (i.e. as other model)
- Seamlessly navigation is not possible
- Tooling is not reusable

Purpose of metadata



Purpose of metadata



Goal Structuring Notation (GSN)

- The development of assurance cases is a key part of engineering safety critical systems.
- An assurance case presents a structured argument aimed at ensuring that the safety or security of a system can be demonstrated with respect to evidence



- Safety cases are typically constructed manually, since many tools rely on diagrammatic drawing support input
- Interested in how the safety cases could be auto-generated and how the information required to generate them could be captured

GSN Patterns



Pattern Instantiation

- Pattern elements are copied
- Roles replaced
- Multiplicities "unfolded"

{system} -> CarControl
{breakdownTable} -> ControlTable
#Subsystems -> 2

- 1. {ssystem} -> Throttle
- 2. {ssystem} -> Traction

Challenge 1: Capturing role metadata



Challenge 1: Capturing role metadata



Challenge 2: Capturing multiplicity metadata



 Nested multiplicities cause combinatorial role values: for each ht there are many hls, and for each hl there are many c values.

Challenge 2: Capturing multiplicity metadata



 Nested multiplicities cause combinatorial role values: for each ht there are many hls, and for each hl there are many c values.



Challenge 2: Capturing multiplicity metadata



Challenges

- Complex metadata places additional requirements on the decoration language.
- Decorations can be required *per-model basis*. The decoration activity is much more time-consuming.
- A side effect of this fine-grained granularity is that reuse of decoration languages is reduced.
- In a nutshell, when metadata is tightly coupled to the semantics of model operations, a different approach to define more fine-grained decorations and model more complex relations is required.

Generating decorators

Dealing with fine-grained decorations and complex metadata

GSN Observations

- Complex metadata is structured as a tree where
 - branches are related to the SupportedBy relations: multiplicity, optionality or selection,
 - each node can capture specific role information.
- Roles are often reused throughout the pattern.
- We call the *role:value* pairs a *link* and each node in the tree can have 0 or more links.
- Given that the nature of links is to capture text values, we opted for creating decoration languages that use textual notation.

GSN Decorator template (BNF)

data ::= variable* <gsnnode>*; variable ::= ID '=' STRING; <gsnnode> ::= (count <branchnode>) | <node>; <branchnode> ::= '<name>_br' ':' <node>*; <node> ::= '<node_name>'':'<link>* gsnnode*; <link> ::= '*<role>' '=' ID | STRING; count ::= <max> | (<min>,<max>)



GSN Decorator template (BNF)

data ::= variable* <gsnnode>*; variable ::= ID '=' STRING; <gsnnode> ::= (count <branchnode>) | <node>; <branchnode> ::= '<name>_br' ':' <node>*; <node> ::= '<node_name>'':'<link>* gsnnode*; <link> ::= '*<role>' '=' ID | STRING; count ::= <max> | (<min>,<max>)



data ::= variable* <gsnnode>*; variable ::= ID '=' STRING; <gsnnode> ::= (count <branchnode>) | <node>; <branchnode> ::= '<name>_br' ':' <node>*; <node> ::= '<node_name>'':'<link>* gsnnode*; <link> ::= '*<role>' '=' ID | STRING; count ::= <max> | (<min>,<max>)

GSN Decorator template (BNF)



GSN Decorator template (BNF)



GSN Decorator template (BNF)

```
G1:
*ht = 'Hazard1'
S1:
 hl count = 2
 G2:
  *hl = 'LowHazard1'
  S2:
    c count = 1
    G3:
     *c = 'LowHazard1 cause'
 G2:
  *hl = 'LowHazard2'
  S2:
    c count = 2
    G3:
     *c = 'LowHazard2 cause1'
    G3:
     *c = 'LowHazard2 cause2'
```



What about more complex patterns?







What about more complex patterns?

```
s1:
  'S1:'
    BEGIN
    slc1=c1 (count=g2 count s1g2+=g2 br)*;
    END;
c1:
  'C1'':'
    BEGIN
    '*s' '=' s=(STRING | VarRef);
    '*pbt' '=' pbt=(STRING | VarRef);
    END
q2 br:
  BEGIN
    top=g2 (g2s1=s1 | g2s2=s2);
  END
g2 count:
  'subsystems_count' '=' INT;
```



What about more complex patterns?

```
g2:
  'G2'':'
    BEGIN
    '*ss' '=' ss=(STRING | VarRef);
    END
s2 gb:
  top=s2
s2 count:
  'strategies_count' '=' INT;
s2:
  'S2'':'
    BEGIN
    '*r' '=' r=(STRING | VarRef);
    END
Var:
  name = ID '=' value=STRING;
VarRef:
  ref = [Var];
```



The Editor









ele = "Some Element" 1 2 fr id = "2.1" 3 4⊖ **G1**: 5 * ir="X shall be nice" * irid="2" 6 7⊖ C6: 8 * e1 = "Some Element" 90 C1: 10 * rid = fr_id 11 * r = "Some REQ" 812⊖

Questions?

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the SECT-AIR project, funded by the Aerospace Technology Institute and In- novate UK, as project number 113099 This work was partially supported by the EU through the DEIS project (#732242).